

## Interracial Marriage and Church Doctrine

Matthew Raphael Johnson  
Johnstown, PA

Interracial marriage is not a sin, its just wrong, irrational and destructive. Only a marriage of two faiths is a sin. By itself, though, race is not a theological issue, at least at the present moment. I disagree with my Identity friends on this one – no one is saved by their race. The fathers never mention it, nor do the canons. It is not a theological issue because these definitions have changed so radically. “Race” was not a separate category, abstracted from all else. There were certainly “races,” but these were not scientific categories in our modern sense. They were rather collections of common traits from culture to language to climate.

The Israelites condemned race mixing most certainly, but they did not have the modern conception of race in mind. Race was intrinsically connected with faith, adherence to the law, family life and the underlying culture that a group of people had built together. It remains true that often, the reason for condemning such a marriage is that they are foreign, not necessarily that they believe differently. Race -- in isolation -- did not exist. Only the modern world takes important areas of human life such as economics or theology and treats them as separate from ethnicity or family.

Religious mixing is condemned by the church. As a priest, I would never marry a couple where one is not Orthodox. As a matter of cultural survival, I also would not marry a mixed couple racially either. This is often connected with race, since the Orthodox church is almost exclusively white. In theory, a white-Asian match might work out, since there are two high IQ people and Asian civilizations are both great and ancient.

Yet, with all that a marriage entails, why bring this added dimension into it? Battles between the in-laws are guaranteed. There are too many natural, psychological barriers against it. If you married a Christian Asian woman, even one totally Americanized, you know her parents would be against it far more than yours would be, since its only whites that are asked to mix.

Nevertheless, a whole European blood line would be obliterated in any racially mixed marriage. Historically, it is almost unheard of. As things get worse and whites become globally endangered, it will then become a sin since it involves the destruction of a whole continent of people: genocide. At the moment, it is not. Yet, former Vice President Joe Biden says this:

And the wave [of immigrants] still continues. It’s not going to stop. Nor should we want it to stop. As a matter of fact, it’s one of the things I think we can be most proud of.

An unrelenting stream of immigration. Non-stop. Non-stop. Folks like me who are Caucasian of European descent — for the first time in 2017 we’ll be an absolute minority in the United States of America.

Absolutely minority – fewer than 50 percent of the people in America, from then and on, will be white European stock. That’s not a bad thing. That’s a source of our strength.<sup>1</sup>

He does not explain how being outvoted will be a source of strength. The contempt non-

---

<sup>1</sup> This quote can be found in his speech here: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ptli8QR5Mzs>

whites are taught to have for whites is very well known. Biden is aware that being outvoted would mean destruction for white people, as is the case in South Africa today. Is South Africa a model too Joe? Biden would still, of course, live in an all white, gated region with private security.

The former President of France, Nicholas Sarkozy, says:

The goal is to meet the challenge of racial interbreeding. The challenge of racial interbreeding that faces us in the 21st Century. It's not a choice, it's an obligation. It's imperative. We cannot do otherwise. We risk finding ourselves confronted with major problems. If this volunteerism does not work for the Republic, then the State will move to still more coercive measures.<sup>2</sup>

Thus, if whites resist their own destruction, they will be forced to marry non-whites. It would be institutionalized rape. In a less dramatic comment, the head of the Green Party in the EU Parliament said: "We, the Greens [Green Party] have to make sure to get as many immigrants as possible into Germany. When they are in Germany, we must fight for their right to vote. When we reach that, we will have a share of the vote – we need to change this republic."<sup>3</sup> This is their electoral strategy.

The former prime minister of Australia, John Gorton, said this in 1971:

I think if we build up gradually inside Australia a proportion of people without white skins, then there will be a complete lack of consciousness that it is being built up... and that we will arrive at a state where we will have a multi-racial country without racial tensions – and perhaps the first in the world.

The argument actually is that forcibly importing non whites and forcing them upon whites will eliminate "racial tensions." This is the intellectual level of debate on this issue. Wesley Kanne, better known as Wesley Clark, former Supreme Commander of NATO, and a Jewish nationalist, stated,

Let's not forget what the origin of the problem is. There is no place in modern Europe for ethnically pure states. That's a 19th century idea and we are trying to transition into the 21st century, and we are going to do it with multi-ethnic states.<sup>4</sup>

This, he adds, will not be the case for Israel. They must be racially pure. The Prime Minister there, Binyamin Netanyahu, stated:

Illegal infiltrators flooding the country and are threatening the security and identity of our Jewish state. If we don't stop their entry, the problem that currently stands at 60,000 could grow to 600,000, and that threatens our existence as a Jewish and democratic state. This phenomenon is very grave and threatens the

---

2 Hear this insanity here: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDaKFE\\_J\\_ug](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bDaKFE_J_ug)

3 <http://archive.org/details/DanielCohn-BenditQuotes>

4 <http://www.splcenter.org/get-informed/intelligence-report/browse-all-issues/1999/spring/kosovo-and-the-far-right>

social fabric of society, our national security and our national identity.<sup>5</sup>

This is considered perfectly acceptable by those who want to do just that to European states. Thousands of examples of this sort of raving can be multiplied from the highest elites of western society. Mass immigration is sinful because it is aimed at the physical destruction and replacement of a group of people. Preaching self-hate on a racial basis is sinful. If the reader requires an explanation, then he needs to be in a sanitarium. After decades of feeding these people anti-white loathing, what do you think would happen to the white minority?

The United Nations defines “genocide” in part: “Deliberately inflicting on the group conditions of life calculated to bring about its physical destruction in whole or in part. . .” Therefore, the racial and immigration policy of political elites in the western world count as genocidal.

Stalin forced Russians to move to Ukraine in order to “Russify” the population. He did this in numerous ethnic regions in the Baltics and Poland all with the same purpose. Today, the Communist Party of China sends thousands of Han Chinese to Tibet for the same reason: to dilute the population of ethnic Tibetans so as to more easily bring that region under Party control. In Ireland, the British government paid settlers to move to Ireland so as to cement English control over that island.

During the colonial reign of Islamic Turkey over southeastern Europe, such population transfers became the norm. Muslims were often forced to move to Constantinople so as to render it an Islamic city. Murad II's did the same to the city of Salonika. As a major port city, forcing Islamic immigration was a means of keeping it in Islamic hands. During the Renaissance, the Turkish empire forced Muslims to populate Cyprus to dilute the Greek element there.

These are just a handful of examples where immigration has been used by a ruling power to bring a part of their empire under control. In the USA, it is the first time in human history where the ruling class has done this to itself. It remains a fact that whites and only whites are forced to accept mass immigration and face legal sanctions if they do not. The result of this – and the sheer size of the Islamic migrations into both Europe and the USA as of 2017 are creating conditions where racially mixed marriages become an issue of genocide and racial replacement.

In Exodus, we read: “Then you will accept their daughters, who sacrifice to other gods, as wives for your sons. And they will seduce your sons to commit adultery against me by worshiping other gods.” It is not unreasonable to take the ideology of multiculturalism as a foreign religion. It is.

God says to Joshua in the context of military victory over the pagans:

For if you ever go back and cling to the rest of these nations, these which remain among you, and intermarry with them, so that you associate with them and they with you, know with certainty that the Lord your God will not continue to drive these nations out from before you; but they will be a snare and a trap to you, and a whip on your sides and thorns in your eyes, until you perish from off this good land which the Lord your God has given you.

This suggests that religion is not the only variable. He is referring to the conquered nations as ethnic units. The nations in question have bad genes, habits and customs that cannot exist along side the church. It has nothing to do with dogma. In the book of Numbers, chapter 33:

---

5 <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2012/may/20/israel-netanyahu-african-immigrants-jewish>

But if you do not drive out the inhabitants of the land from before you, then it shall come about that those whom you let remain of them will become as pricks in your eyes and as thorns in your sides, and they will trouble you in the land in which you live.

Exodus says again, "Watch yourself that you make no covenant with the inhabitants of the land into which you are going, or it will become a snare in your midst. But rather, you are to tear down their altars and smash their sacred pillars and cut down their hill altars..."

This also suggests that religion is not the only force. They are incapable of keeping faithful to contracts. For the black man in society, he is raised to believe the white owes him money and favors. How will that not come out in one of their fake marriages? The children will be brought up black. I know of no exceptions to this. Biological race and its predetermined modes of behavior are targeted here, not religion.

Now King Solomon loved many foreign women along with the daughter of Pharaoh: Moabite, Ammonite, Edomite, Sidonian, and Hittite women, from the nations concerning which the Lord had said to the sons of Israel, "You shall not associate with them, nor shall they associate with you, for they will surely turn your heart away after their gods." Solomon held fast to these in love....from the nations concerning which the Lord had said to the sons of Israel, "You shall not associate with them, nor shall they associate with you, for they will surely turn your heart away after their gods." Solomon held fast to these in love. (1 Kings 11:1).

Solomon died a heretic for this reason. He permitted the desire to please his favorite wives to permit the pagan sacrifice in the Holy of Holies. This is the Abomination of Desolation. These other tribes were not white in the sense of European. It is implied in all of these that the Israelite will recognize a tribal member right away. They are strictly of Middle Eastern stock. They may have been founded by Israelites, but they mixed heavily with others. The curse of Ham, so to speak, seems to apply to all these groups. It's pretty clear they would be immediately visible.

If there is any man in Israel who desires to give his daughter or his sister to any man who is of the seed of the Gentiles, he shall surely die...for he has committed a sin and a shame in Israel....And to this law there is no limit of days and no ceasing and no forgiveness, but he shall be rooted out who defiles his daughter, among all Israel, because he has given of his seed to Moloch....And thou, Moses, command the children of Israel and testify over them that they shall not give any of their daughters to the Gentiles and that they shall not take any of the daughters of the Gentiles; for this is accursed before the Lord....And it is disgraceful to Israel to those that give and to those that receive from any Gentiles any daughters, for it is unclean and accursed to Israel; and Israel will not be clean of this uncleanness of him who has of the daughters of the Gentiles for a wife, or who has given of his daughters to a man who is of any of the seed of the Gentiles.... (Jubilees 30:6-12).

Its true that this book is not in the canonical Bible, this means nothing. Most of the early fathers cited from it as an authority. It is in the Ethiopian canon. Epiphanius, Justin Martyr, Origen, Diodorus of Tarsus, Isidore of Alexandria, Isidore of Seville, Eutychius of Alexandria and many others cite it as Scripture. A book need not be in the Bible to be Scripture. It just must have authority. It is certainly illustrative of the belief of the day.

Psalm 106 reads:

They did not destroy the peoples as the Lord had commanded them,  
but they mingled with the nations and adopted their customs.  
They worshiped their idols, which became a snare to them.  
They sacrificed their sons and their daughters to false gods.  
They shed innocent blood, the blood of their sons and daughters,  
whom they sacrificed to the idols of Canaan,  
and the land was desecrated by their blood (34-39)

“Customs” are the very life of a nation. It is far more than religion, though religion is a subset of broader customs. By leaving the Israelite nation and “mixing” outside it, they fell into darkness. This implies that sexual allure led to their downfall as did Solomon. More broadly, the nations – that is, outside the church – often adopted evil ways since they did not have the law or grace to guide them. They adopted the ways of their oligarchs and took it as natural. Most translations will say “intermarried” or some other term denoting creating mixed relationships.

When Esau married a Hittite, his parents were outraged Genesis 28 clearly states that the Israelite was not to marry a woman from Canaan. This is a blanket condemnation and is not immediately connected to religious practice. Later, this would be amplified in Abraham's command to only find a wife among “his own kindred.”

This command not to marry into another race was the same one given by Abraham concerning Isaac, where he told his son to find his wife in his country and among his kindred (his own kind). Famously, Deuteronomy 32:8 teaches that God created and separated the nations and races. This is most certainly not an immediate reference to religion: “When the Most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when He separated the sons of Adam, He set the borders of the people according to the number of the children of Israel.” There is no differing translation for this. The result is a “bastard” or *mamzer* in Hebrew, that is not permitted to enter the temple regardless of his religious profession.

It is no accident that “adultery” and “adulterate” are related words. To commit adultery, among other things, is to mix that which should not be mixed. In Greek, the term is *moichozeuktikos*, which is defined as “of or relating to an adulterous marriage.” The fathers saw some marriages as adulterous. How could that be? *A Patristic Greek Lexicon* by G.W. H. Lampe (1961) shows that some of the early Patristic writers spoke of adulterous marriages as those based on improper mixing.

Leverett's Lexicon of the Latin Language says, “begotten basely, not thorough-bred, not full-blooded, adulterated.” The Hebrew *mamzer* is identical to the Greek *moichozeuktikos* and the Latin *adulterinus*. This means a “bastard” or, as Strong's Hebrew Dictionary says, “a mongrel.” This means that the Commandment avoiding adultery means to avoid mixing races and religions. The Latin *adultero* is always defined as moving to a lower state through breeding with others.

The Oxford Dictionary of Latin defines *adulteratus* as “mixed, adulterated, produced by cross-breeding, of mixed descent or origin.” It is also used occasionally for “foreign.” Again Leverett clearly defines it as “Not thorough-bred, not full-blooded.” (cited from Herrell, 2007). This also means that the avoidance of other nations is not just about religious observance, since that separation did not exist. It did refer to genetic cross breeding among nations which is thus condemned. The sexual desire leading to cheating on one's wife is the result of adultery, not adultery itself.

There is no place in the Old Testament or the New that commands people mix races or ethnic groups. It is occasionally argued that Moses married an “Ethiopian.” This would therefore be an example of racial mixing blessed by God. The problems with this are at many levels. To assume that Cush was racially identical today as it was thousands and thousands of years ago might be acceptable for a university professor, but not for a rational person. Ethiopians are not “black,” but Semitic. Portraits of ancient rulers there show light skin. Modern textbooks have deliberately darkened them. The Greek saints, including St. Frumentios, that converted the nation did not require a translator. Cushites spoke Greek. They were not black.

The “world,” in the Greek Kosmos, means a national order. It does not mean “everything.” The quantitative concepts of “all” or “every” did not exist. These are modern terms. They refer to orders, Platonic Forms. To go into the “world” is to approach specific nations, not “everyone.” Matthew 10:6 says “Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans, enter ye not, but go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel, and as ye go, preach saying, the Kingdom of God is at hand.” There were specific peoples alone Christ was sent to save. He is only sent to the House of Israel, not “everyone.” (cf Kennedy, 1992)

John 17:9 states “I pray for them: I pray not for the world, but for them whom thou hast given me: because they are thine.” Christ clearly excludes people. He excludes the Canaanites, those in Sodom, the “goats” and untold millions of others. What is a human being? Someone with two legs? No, a free, rational being. Odd, I thought there were “neither Jews nor Gentiles?” Israel is a set of ethnic groups, a race set apart. It is not for everyone and Christ could not have been clearer about this.

For me, I've never seen a black woman I thought was attractive. I've seen a grand total of one Asian woman I liked (too damn skinny). Not a single Indian woman. Why is that? I refuse to believe that any decent white person can't find a mate in his own tribe. The main reason for interracial dating I believe is to prove to the world how "open-minded" the white partner is. Its the ultimate virtue signal. Its a guarantee against attacks of racism and even permits the white person to use that defense himself or herself! It must be nice. I've not known too many interracial marriages, but the few I know are loaded with feelings of cultural supremacy and the sense that they are now immune from criticism. The children of black-white marriages always and without exception identify with black due to the huge benefits that come with that identity. They never try to be white.

Unfortunately, almost all mainline Christians believe “racism” is a sin, along with sexism. They are divided on fagophobia. However, if the phenotype is threatened, destroying a race is far worse than killing a person. Remember, the term adultery is related to adulteration, or to change in a negative way, to add an inferior product. It is the result of sin, not a sin itself.

The only reason this came up at all in the Old Testament is that there were so few Israelites relative to the native population. Thus, it was not merely a religious prohibition. No society ever advocated systematic racial mixing and it was never even an issue until today. The only time it comes up is when a people is endangered.

You have this odd prohibition: "...Thou shalt not let thy cattle gender with a diverse kind: thou shalt not sow thy field with mingled seed: neither shall a garment mingled of linen and woolen come upon thee" (Leviticus 19:19). Does a nation of farmers and herdsman need this? Its about people.

In the ancient book of Tobit, my personal favorite book, part of the Old Testament since before the first ecumenical synod:

Beware of all whoredom, my son, and chiefly take a wife of the seed of thy fathers, and take not a strange woman to wife, which is not of thy father's tribe: for we are the children of the prophets, Noe [Noah], Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob: remember, my son, that our fathers from the beginning, even that they all married wives of their own kindred, and were blessed in their children, and their seed shall inherit the land. Now therefore, my son, love thy brethren, and despise not in thy heart thy brethren, the sons and daughters of thy people, in not taking a wife of them.... (Tobit 4:12-13).

This is not about religion at all. Taking a non-Israelite woman is identical to whoredom. Its tribal membership is all that matters here, not religion directly. The reason the tribe still exists is because they married women of their own kind. Never reject the women of your own people. The context here is that Tobit is giving advice to Tobias on his deathbed. This is a sacred utterance from decades of experience and is thus binding on Christians.

The "Good News Translation" deliberately misreads this passage. They actually state "Do not marry anyone who is not related to us. Remember that Noah, Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, our earliest ancestors, all married relatives." This is blasphemy. It is the deliberate manipulation of the text to support a leftist agenda. Strangely, all Catholic Bibles have this passage with the exception of the 1899 edition of the Douay-Rheims American Edition.

In modern America, there's a more practical reason for white women, in particular, to avoid black men: violence. It is rare to see a white man with a black woman, but increasingly common to see the reverse. This is because taken the women as "booty" as been a benefit of every conquering army since Babylon. Once the enemy is defeated, their women become fair game. This is the mentality behind the black male hunt for white women: to declare the humiliation of the white man.

Black males on the hunt for white females can only be based on this sort of triumphalism. The black male is raised on anti-white hatred. Few deny this. How will it not spill over into "dating?" Such couples have 200% the divorce rate of monoracial couples. The Burnett study of 2005 showed that black males are 7.7 times more likely to beat their white wife than a black one. Further, this does not take into consideration that, as of 2006, the percentage of new HIV cases was 83.7% black as opposed to just over 11% white. Yet, due to fear of being called names, white women are pressured into playing Russian Roulette.

Several years before that, the Mercy study of 1989, published in the American Journal of Public Health, showed that a white wife is murdered by her black "husband" at a rate over 12 times that of monoracial couples. An inbred hate of whites is the most obvious, but not the only, cause. Dozens of other studies can be cited here, even in an academic environment where mentioning such things can and does lead to employment termination. Thus, you can be sure the numbers are far higher than that.

In 2005, the Justice Department released its statistics on rape and race. In 2004, the number of white women raped was 111,590, though it remains unclear if these refer to those making the accusation or those who have achieved the confession or conviction of the rapist. Of this number, almost half were perpetrated by black men, who make up 12% of the population. Shockingly, there were 0 instances of interracial rape of black women where the perpetrator was white.

The same Report's statistical tables for 2004 show black males performing about 56% of all rapes in the USA. White's are at just 30%, though it should be noted that "Hispanic" is usually included in the white category (cf. Table 40-46 in the report). It also should be noted that incidents of black on white rape are the category that is least likely to be reported.

Lastly, the IQ issue cannot be denied. The IQ of the American black is around 80. That's at least 20 points below the average white man or Asian. Normally, children of mixed race couples tend to have an IQ closer to the lower figure than the higher. Since white genes are recessive, this should not be surprising.

Given the above, the results of interracial marriage and procreation – in the US, almost exclusively a black man and a white woman – are not sinful in themselves. However, most of their consequences are. Having a white woman date a black man is knowingly putting her in harms way. As black men are brainwashed more and more to loathe whites, the violence against these ignorant, hapless females will only increase. Interracial rape and violence is usually unpunished and none, after OJ, get much press attention.

Thus, race mixing is not inherently sinful in that race, by itself, is not a religious category. In other words, there's nothing inherently evil in it. However, the US is reaching a point where the results of increasing miscegenation are clear. The common results of interracial dating and marriage are often horrifying and deadly. While race is not a religious category, sin lies in the motives of those who promote it.

## **Bibliography**

James A. Mercy and Linda Saltzman. Fatal Violence Among Spouses in the United States, 1976-1985. *American Journal of Public Health*, May, 1989.

Desperandum, N. On Interracial Marriage: The Moral Status of Miscegenation. *Faith and Heritage*, 2011  
<http://faithandheritage.com/2011/05/the-moral-status-of-miscegenation/>

Weiland, Theodore. The Seventh Commandment and Miscegenation. HoI Ministries  
<http://www.hope-of-israel.org/7thcommandment.html>

Lynn Barkley Burnett and Jonathan Adler. Domestic Violence. *E-medicine*, November 15, 2005

Department of Justice. Criminal Victimization in the United States, 2005 Statistical Tables. National Crime Victimization Survey. December 2006, NCJ 215244

Leverett, F.P., ed. *A New and Copious Lexicon of the Latin Language*. J.H. Wilkins and R.B. Carter, Boston: 1836.

Kennedy, A (1992) *The Bible, Race and Culture*. HoI Ministries